

DEC 28 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION  
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MAURO CANTORAN QUIROZ,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-75721

Agency No. A95-450-136

MEMORANDUM \*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 3, 2007 \*\*

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Mauro Cantoran Quiroz, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the BIA's summary affirmance of the immigration judge's denial of his

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\*The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

motion to reopen removal proceedings so that he can apply for relief under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT").

Cantoran Quiroz contends that the IJ erred in concluding that he failed to establish a prima facie case of eligibility for relief under CAT. The generalized evidence attached to his motion did not meet this standard. *See Nuru v. Gonzales*, 404 F.3d 1207, 1216 (9th Cir. 2005) (holding that CAT applicant must establish that it is more likely than not that he would be tortured if removed to his native country); *Ordonez v. INS*, 345 F.3d 777, 785 (9th Cir. 2003) (holding that motion to reopen must establish prima facie case demonstrating reasonable likelihood that requirements for relief have been satisfied).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**